

WARREN BOARD OF HEALTH MINUTES
MARCH 13, 2013 – 7:00 P.M.
2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM – MUNICIPAL BUILDING
46 MOUNTAIN BOULEVARD, WARREN

Call to Order: The regular public meeting of the Warren Township Board of Health was called to order at 7:02 p.m. by Malcolm Plager, Chairman

Flag Salute

The Opening Statement: Adequate notice of this meeting was given on January 11, 2013 by posting a copy on the Township Bulletin Board sending a copy to the Township Clerk, Echoes Sentinel and Courier News as required by the Open Public Meetings Act. We plan to adjourn no later than 10:00 P.M.

Roll Call:

Dr. DeMarco	Absent	<u>Alternate #1</u>	
Mrs. Cooper	Present	Mr. Rosenman	Present
Mr. Morlino	Absent	<u>Alternate #2</u>	
Dr. Sarraf	Present	Ms. Parker	Absent
Mr. Lazo	Present (-Arrived 7:48pm)		
Mr. Riley	Present	Mr. Plager	Present

The Board Clerk informed the Board that Ms. Parker has only been to one meeting in the last two years. Mr. Plager suggested emailing Ms. Parker the schedule of the Board meetings and asking her to arrange her schedule to be able to attend the meetings.

Privilege of the Floor: None

Approval of Minutes: January 9, 2013

Motion was made by Mr. Riley second by Mrs. Cooper to approve the January 9, 2013 ~~minutes~~ minutes.

Voice Vote:

In Favor: Mrs. Cooper, Dr. Sarraf, Mr. Riley, Mr. Rosenman, Mr. Plager

Opposed: None

Abstentions: None

Correspondence: Please See Correspondence File

New Business:

Dr. Sarraf was recused from Case 1 and left the meeting at 7:06pm

Mr. Lazo arrived at 7:48pm

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Two**

Case #1- March 13, 2013

Washington Valley Road

Block 75, Lot: 3.02

Application: Site Plan

Applicant: Natalie Mooney, dba K9 Resorts Daycare & Luxury Hotel

Owner: Warren Post Office, LLC

Engineer: Kevin G. Page, Page Engineering Consultants

Applicant proposes to renovate the interior of the existing structure and construct an addition in the area of the existing loading dock. The proposed facility is intended to be used as a doggy day care and kennel facility. Applicant has provided a will-serve letter from New Jersey American Water Company dated January 31, 2013 and the property is currently connected to sewers.

Mr. Joseph Murray, Esq, of Schiller & Pittenger, Kevin Page, of Page Engineering, Steven Parker, Founder and CEO of K9 Resorts, and Natalie Mooney, Principle of the Franchise, were present. Mr. Page, Ms. Mooney, and Mr. Parker were sworn in to testify.

Mr. Page testified that this property is the old post office, located behind the A&P. The building is an existing one story masonry building. The customer parking will be located in the front. Mr. Page testified that all asphalt from the rear of the building would be removed and K9 Grass would be installed. A seven foot high solid fence would enclose the grass area.

Mr. Page testified that he is asking for relief from the Board of Health for a dumpster size of 10 x 10 instead of the required 10 x 20. The dumpster will be built on a concrete pad with a chain link fence. The hose bib will be located on the side ~~of~~ near the dumpster.

Mr. Page testified that the ~~basic~~ parking lot will remain the same, but ~~the~~ driveway will be increased to 24 feet.

Mr. Page testified that there is a storm drain in the rear left corner of the property. The back of the property currently slopes towards that drain. ~~Then~~ When the drain was first installed, it discharged directly into an existing ditch. When the site next door was developed, they piped the ditch. Currently that pipe is crushed and causes the back parking lot to flood. Mr. Page testified that he proposed to raise the grade of the back section creating positive drainage. The runoff from the building will be piped towards the storm drain in the front.

Mr. Page testified that the current building is ~~survived~~ served by sewers and public water, electric and gas. There are no wells or underground oil tanks on the site. When the building was first built, it was serviced by a septic system. That septic system is located on the right side of the building. During a recent inspection, it was found that the septic was never abandoned. The septic will now be abandoned as per code next week. Mr. Sumner asked that a plan of the current septic be submitted to the Board of Health.

Mr. Page testified that lighting will be added to the property, but would not be on all night. The lights would be on a timer.

Mr. Page testified that the applicant would install a fence along the rear of the property, between the properties, after the Board expressed concern. ~~A fence will also be installed along the rear of the property.~~

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Three**

Case 1 Continued

Mr. Page testified that the building will house about 50 dogs at once. These dogs will be kept inside the building about 23 hours a day. Dogs would not be ~~going outside~~ on their own ~~like a kennel like a kennel~~. They would have prescribed times ~~they would to~~ go outside and would be accompanied. The two outdoor areas would be fenced with a 7 foot high solid vinyl fence. The grass would be synthetic. Mr. Page testified that the K9 grass would be placed on 2 feet of the same select fill sand as used in a septic system. It will ~~be act as~~ a filtration system ~~and not designed to concentrate the flow~~. 50 dogs on this turf would generate about 8 gallons of urine a day. Feces will be picked up and disposed of.

Mr. Sumner questioned if Mr. Page ~~if he~~ was comparing this design ~~like to that of~~ a septic system ~~as t~~. They are not the same. Mr. Page testified that he is just trying to distribute urine over the area like a septic. Mr. Plager ~~stated testified~~ that he doesn't ~~buy agree with~~ the ~~simulation analogy~~. There has to be a buildup of something in that material over time. That amount of dogs would create 3000 gallons a year of urine. Nothing is washing it. He has concern that over an extended period of time if there is not a plan to recycle the material, ~~it will might~~ create a problem. Mr. Page testified that he only talked about the design, but there are methods of cleaning the K9 Grass. Mr. Plager asked where the chemicals from cleaning ~~are will be~~ drained ~~to to~~. Mr. Page testified that it would go to the ground below. Mr. Plager asked if maybe he would test the soil to make sure it is not hard clay. Mr. Page testified he is ok with that. He has some confidence since there was a septic system on the property at one time.

Ms. Cooper asked what size the outdoor area in the back would ~~be be~~. Mr. Page testified that the area is 45 x 60 feet, 2700 square feet.

Mr. Riley asked if this type of grass has any set standards by the American Veterinary Association or American Kennel Association? Mr. Page testified that the K9 Grass is specifically designed for animals.

Mr. Rosenman asked what ~~is~~ the distance is to the nearest house. Mr. Page testified that from the front of the ~~building to building to~~ the house is 200 feet and from the back of the building it is roughly 300 feet to the nearest house.

Mr. Page testified that there was a Phase One study done on this property by Whitestone Associates. Interior asbestos was identified and will be cleaned up in accordance with law. Mr. Sumner asked that a copy be submitted to the Board of Health. Mrs. Cooper asked if there was any concern with petroleum in the ground with all the postal trucks throughout the years. Mr. Page testified not that has been identified.

Mr. Steven Parker, Chief Executive Officer and Co-Founder, and Owner of the Fanwood facility addressed the Board.

Mr. Parker testified that he is a nationally certified pet technician by the American Board of Kennels Association. That is the second highest degree that they provide. He was studying for the highest degree and unfortunately they were a non-for-profit organization and went out of business in 2010. Barkley Productions is a company that hasn't formally taken them over, but they were competitors and now are considered the national authority. Mr. Parker is a national speaker for Barkley

Productions. K9 Resorts is the most award winning company in New Jersey. They have been rated 1 for the past four years. They are veterinarian recommended.

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Four**

Case 1 Continued

Mr. Parker entered into evidence exhibit C1-A, the literature from K9 Resorts, and C1-B the reference letters for K9 Resorts.

Mr. Parker testified that in ~~exhibit C1~~ exhibit C1-B, there is a letter from the Westfield ~~Regional Health~~ Regional Health Department, stating that K9 has been in business for 8 years and that they have always passed their inspection and that there has never been a complaint about the facility. Mr. Parker also talked about a letter from ~~the~~ Katherine Mitchell, a neighbor to the rear of their property and a Fanwood also on the Township Committee person. for Fanwood. Her letter is to certify that she has been living directly behind the K9 Resorts for over 8 years. K9 Resorts is beautiful, well run and exceptionally clean. Her letter goes on to say that there has never been a complaint on-about the facility and that the facility produces no noise or odor.

Mr. Parker testified that Ms. Mitchell's house is about 50 feet from his facility and dumpster. There are train tracks that separate the properties.

Mr. Parker testified that the dogs are outside for a short time. They typically only bark when someone is on a facility tour. The barking is issue was ~~resolved~~ reduced by installing one way glass so the ~~dogs~~ dogs can't see out. With respect to exterior noise, it ~~occurs~~ only when the garage door is opened to first let the dogs out. Usually only 25 dogs from the daycare go outside at once. Boarded dogs usually go outside ~~by~~ individually during a scheduled time.

Mr. Parker also testified that exhibit C1-B ~~also~~ has letters from the manufacture of the K9 grass.

Mr. Plager has concern over the dogs eliminating in the open area, and the impact results of that. Would you put the feces into the garbage?

Mr. Parker testified as to the elimination, the dogs will urinate on the K9 Grass, then there is a two-step cleaning process that is done several times a day. The area is hosed down with an Alpha Tech product which is both a cleaner and disinfectant. Mr. Plager asks where it would ~~drains~~ drain to. Mr. Parker testified that the Fanwood facility initially ~~perked~~ percolated into the ground, but was changed to ~~have it be~~ collected and discharged into the sewer system. Mr. Plager asked if the facility in Warren would discharge into the ~~sewer?~~ sewer. Mr. Parker testified that the Warren Facility would percolate into the ground as if it were natural grass. The reason is because what ends up in the ground is water. The cleaning process ~~that is used is~~ an organic cleaner that breaks down the urine and the end result is water. Mr. Plager ~~stated that stated even~~ heavy metals. ~~You can't be broken~~ break those down. Mr. Parker testified in terms of the feces, they are picked up by hand, double bagged and placed in the dumpster.

Mr. Parker testified that the K9 Grass is the most safe and sanitary for the dogs, vers gravel and sand. Most of your higher end facilities will utilize turf.

Mr. Plager stated that other facilities are using the sanitary sewer ~~as-to~~ discharge ~~of that~~ the water ~~your are being~~ created ~~ing~~ as a result of the cleaning. Mr. Parker testified some. There is a facility in Middletown that uses the same method being proposed in Warren. Mr. Plager stated you can't compare soils.

Mr. Rosenman asked how long it takes to break it ~~down?~~ down. Mr. Parker testified it takes three steps, and the first step immediately breaks it down.

Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Five

Case 1 Continued

Mr. Lazo arrived 7:48pm

Mr. Riley asked about the data sheets for the chemicals. Mr. Parker testified he didn't have them on him, but can ~~could~~ provide them.

Mr. Sumner stated that he has heard these facilities have been run extremely well.

~~N~~Notwithstanding that, one of the comments in the summary is that the "K9 Grass" does not meet the standards of the State Regulations. Mr. Sumner stated that he reached out to the State Health Department asking them to review and to provide ~~data~~ input. Ms. Linda Freeze-Friese from the State Health Department Office of Animal Welfare responded that there is nothing in the document or website that stated how the product is cleaned and disinfected. How do they get bloody diarrhea out of the woven knitted ~~phylon~~ nylon grass fibers and acrylic backing. The Alpha Sand microbial used in the grass is not currently registered in the US for any Public Health applications. Mr. Sumner's ~~editorial added comment is~~ that he doesn't know if it is EPA registered. The specifications for this installation is crushed aggregate placed over a dirt surface with a concrete curb and poly~~lumber~~ nailing board. This means it will drain directly into the ground. How will they prevent the fibers getting clogged with dog ~~hair~~ hair?

Mr. Parker testified that he forwarded that email to the manufacture of "K9 Grass." They basically stated that the cleaning product included the use of enzymes that would break down all organic materials, blood, urine, feces, ~~etc.~~ Anything else is vacuumed to remove the hair and the debris.

Mr. Plager stated that septic systems in Warren require the removal of dirt 8 feet down and select fill is put in before the septic system is put on top of it. When you talk about this aspect of it, what he sees is build up over time. eEven if it is being cleaned it will build up. It is 3000 gallons a year. The question that arises is, ~~that~~ over an extended period, what happens if the ground doesn't percolate the way you want it to. Mr. Parker testified that they are more than willing to have a percolation* test done.

Mr. Page testified that the Sewerage Authority is not going to allow rain water to enter the sewer. Mr. Plager stated that all you need to do is put a liner under that material collect the water and have an entry point into the sewer. The same way you do ~~it is in~~ Fanwood.

Mr. Parker testified that if it pleases the Board he would talk to Ms. Mooney and pending her approval would be more than happy to comply ~~to~~ with pumping the waste into the sewer.

~~M~~rs. Cooper stated that, knowing the soil that we have in this town it would be more beneficial for the business in the long run.

Mr. Sumner stated that this system still does not meet State law. The law states, that this system surface has to be impervious to moisture. Until the State Health Department says this system is imperious to moisture acceptable under their rules, he will can not approve it. He suggests that the applicant work with the State Health Department to get them to agree that determine if this product does or does not meet the intent of the State Law. He suggested ed you takinge the information from tonight's meeting and schedule a meeting with the State Health Department, someone in the office of Animal Welfare, and ask for an answer about the K9Grass.

Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Six

Case 1 Continued

Mr. Murray stated that the Board of Health has a reasonable issue that needs to be resolved. The concern the applicant has is that they would like to be able to move to the next step with the Board of Adjustment, for a Use Variance, and to possibly have this Board carry Board carry this case, and to grant authority to proceed before the Board of Adjustment. If the Board of Adjustment grants an approval, it would be conditioned upon the Board of Health's resolution of this issue.

Mr. Plager stated he would have no problem with that, but Mr. Sumner says that the K9 Grass doesn't meet the State Law. The Board cannot act on something that doesn't meet the State Law.

Mr. Murray stated he is not asking for an approval tonight from the Board of Health, but just permission to move forward to the Board of Adjustment, while the applicant deals with the State.

Counsel stated that a letter could be sent to the Board of Adjustment saying that from a Board of Health perspective it has been referred to the State.

Mr. Lazo stated that he hates s to slow down the applicant while they deal with the State.

Mr. Parker asked the Board what would be needed right now to comply with State Code. Mr. Sumner stated he doesn't have an answer right now to that question. Mr. Riley stated it is not up to the Board to Design according to the code.

Mr. Murray stated that he would like to get to a place that the Board of Adjustment could grant or deny the Use Variance and then have it conditioned upon the Board of Health reaching a legitimately determined resolution. The applicant could not build until that happens. Mr. Murray asked if they could complete the Franchise purchase before that.

Mr. Sumner asked if the dogs would be covered from the elements. Mr. Parker testified that their dogs don't have the option of spending time outside like at a kennel. Theire dogs are let outside and then are brought right back in. They are not spending long periods of time outside in the elements.

Mrs. Cooper asked about doggie daycare. She wanted to know how many dogs would be picked up at one time. Mr. Parker testified that dogs would be picked up anywhere from 4-7pm. It would not be a mass pickup. A parking study of the Fanwood location was done and found that no car was ever parked more than a couple of minutes because everything is handled over the phone. All dogs are pre-registered.

Mrs. Cooper asked how many parking spaces are going to be available at the Warren Facility. Mr. Parker testified ten ~~spaces, spaces~~; the facility will have four workers on staff. There will be no overnight staff.

Mrs. Cooper asked about grooming at the facility. Mr. Parker testified that dogs would be given only exit baths. No further grooming ~~needs~~ would be offered. All dogs are required to go home with a bath.

Mr. Parker testified that all dogs ~~that to~~ come to the facility are pre-registered over the phone. Even tours are by appointment. All dogs must have all their shots before they enter the facility.

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Seven**

Case 1 Continued

Mr. Sumner asked if the facility would have floor drains and also would they be transporting the dogs. Mr. Parker testified no.

Mr. Parker entered into exhibit C1-C₇; ~~the~~ installation process of the K9 Grass.

Mr. Plager polled the Board, and the Board felt that the applicant could proceed to the Board of Adjustment, but the Board of Adjustment needed to hear the concerns and questions the Board of Health raised tonight during the hearing. The letter needed to address the question as to whether the proposed K9 Grass meets State Requirements, the method of Waste Removal, Noise, and Odor generated from this facility. If the applicant receives a positive determination from the State Department of Health, it will have to return to the Board of Health to continue its application.

Motion was made by Mr. Rosenman second by Mrs. Cooper to send a letter to the Board of Adjustment with the concerns of the K9 Grass, method of waste removal, noise, and odor.

Voice Vote:

In Favor: Mrs. Cooper, Mr. Lazo, Mr. Rosenman, Mr. Plager

Opposed: Mr. Riley

Abstentions: None

Motion was made by Mrs. Cooper second by Mr. Lazo to adjourn the application

Roll Call:

Mrs. Cooper- Yes

Dr. Sarraf- Yes

Mr. Lazo- Yes

Mr. Riley- Yes

Mr. Rosenman- Yes

Mr. Plager- Yes

Dr. Sarraf returned to the meeting at 8:34pm

Case #2- March 13, 2013

203 Stirling Road

Block 160, Lot: 3

Application: Minor Subdivision

Owner/Applicant: Mr. Douglas Jamieson
Engineer: Stephen Parker, PE, Parker Engineering & Surveying, PC

Applicant proposes to subdivide this 4.99 acre parcel into two (2) lots of 2.26 acres and 2.73 acres. The property in question contains a single two-story dwelling serviced by aan individual subsurface sewage disposal system and private well. The subdivided lot is proposed to have a single residential dwelling to also be served by individual subsurface sewage disposal system and private well. Applicant has conducted field analysis demonstrating that the construction of a septic system is possible, though certain variances are likely to be required. Applicant has also submitted a letter from Environmental Technology Inc. determining that there are no wetlands within the proposed area of development or within 150 feet of the proposed development. Applicant seeks approval of

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Eight**

Case 2 Continued

the subdivision with the understanding that a reappearance before the Board of Health will be required if the proposed septic system requires approval of variances from local ordinance.

Erica Busch, PE, Parker Engineering, and Douglas Jamieson, owner, were present and sworn in to testify.

Mr. Sumner stated that he asked Mr. Parker to design a rough-conceptual septic design on the plans for the subdivision so that the Board could get an idea that about the septic system that could be designed. This application is strictly just for the subdivision. If they subdivision is approved, then the applicant would need to come back to the Board of Health with an official septic design.

Mr. Plager asked where the closest sewer is?is. Mr. Jamieson testified the sewers are nowhere near his property.

Mr. Plager asked where the property sits relative to the flood plain. Ms. Busch testified that according to the plan-plan it is about 25 feet off the bank and the proposed septic system is from ~~the proposed septic system~~ 140 feet away.

Ms. Busch testified that eight soil logs and four pit bail tests were conducted and four pit bail tests on the property. Two of the soil logs went down to 84 and 94 inches respectfully. A four foot disposal bed would still be able to be constructed, because the water table was such that the entire zone of disposal and treatment would be replaced.

Ms. Busch testified that as of now, the only variances needed for the septic would be from the local ordinance requiring a 10 foot excavation, and a mounded system.

Ms. Busch testified that the soil logs were conducted in the wet weather season, April 11, 2012.

Ms. Busch testified that the property does not have any underground oil storage containers.

Motion was made by Mrs. Cooper second by Mr. Lazo to approve the proposed subdivision as presented with the condition that if it is approved by the Board of Adjustment the applicant will return to the Board of Health with a septic design for the dwellings.

Roll Call:
Mrs. Cooper- Yes
Dr. Sarraf- Yes
Mr. Lazo- Yes
Mr. Riley- Yes
Mr. Rosenman- Yes
Mr. Plager- Yes

Mr. Lazo left the meeting at 8:48pm

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Nine**

Old Business:

Resolution 2013- 12

53 & 57 Mount Bethel Road
Block 74, Lots 7, 8 & 9

Application: Site Plan

Owner: Walter Cyburt

Applicant: Shelter Development LLC

Engineer: Kevin G. Page, PE; Page Engineering Consultants, PC

Attorney: Glenn Pantel, Esq.

Motion was made by Mr. Riley second by Mrs. Cooper to approve Resolution 2013-12 as read.

Roll Call:
Mrs. Cooper- Yes
Dr. Sarraf- Abstain
Mr. Riley- Yes
Mr. Rosenman- Abstain
Mr. Plager- Yes

Resolution 2013-13

Variance From Ordinance 88-1A

10 Marian Lane

Block 96, Lot 10

Applicant: Marie Colluci

Counsel stated that at the last meeting, the Board was agreeable to granting a deferral for three years but expressed concern about if the property was sold or otherwise transferred, that the new owner would know that there was a requirement to connect to public sewers~~hook-up~~. In the past

we have had a requirement in ~~here the resolution~~ that the applicant provide notice in a contract of sale and give a copy to the purchaser. The Board wanted to put a required deed notice in the deed giving people notice of the requirement. Mr. Sumner sent a letter to the applicant and the applicant apparently is agreeable to doing that.

Motion was made by Mr. Rosenman second by Mrs. Cooper to approve Resolution 2013-13 as read.

Roll Call:

Mrs. Cooper-	Yes
Dr. Sarraf-	Abstain
Mr. Rosenman-	Yes
Mr. Plager-	Yes

Counsel left the meeting at 9:03pm

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Ten**

Middle-Brook Regional Health Commission Report

Mr. Sumner stated that at the reorganization meeting, Jon Fourre was elected President, Greg Riley was elected Vice President, Jean Mazet was elected Treasurer, and Dr. Frank was appointed the Physician for the Commission.

Mr. Sumner reported at the meeting on the department quality improvement activities around contact recording and tracking. ~~The process improves A how we record and document actions as people come in and make contact with the department. In addition how we record and document that as well as preliminary quality improvement activities around quality improvement on how we process septic system applications have been started.~~

Mr. Sumner introduced ~~the~~ two interns who are with the Commission for the ~~Springspring;~~ Cherrybel, from William Paterson University, and ~~C~~Shamerara, from Rutgers. Cherrybel will be working on a project to develop an education and voluntary inspection program for residential pools. She has finished the assessment portion of that and is now starting to work on the project itself.

Reports of Employees/Health Officer Report

Mr. Sumner informed the Board that ~~there the Township is proposing is a proposed~~ change in to the order ~~that~~ an applicant appears before all the Town's Boards. Currently an applicant would appear before the Sewerage Authority, Board of Health then either Planning or Board of Adjustment. The proposed change would have the applicant appear first before the Planning Board or Board of Adjustment, ~~Upon~~ received pt of their approval ~~or actions that is done there and then~~ the certification of the Board of Health and other Boards would be a condition of those approvals.

Mr. Sumner is concerned with the new procedures when relative to an application has for a septic system.

Mr. Plager stated ~~he felt the new producer is frivolous.~~ There are many times the Board of Health picks up things that the other Boards might not consider.

Mrs. Cooper feels that it would minimize the Board of Health's impact. What happens when they get approved by the Planning Board or Board of Adjustment and the Board of Health has ~~concerns-concerns?~~ ~~Can they just steam roll over us.~~

Mr. Sumner stated that we would still have input during the TCC meetings.

Financial Reports:

Treasurer's Report: Receipts – January, 2013

Receipts for Registrar:	\$598.00
Health	\$14,650.00
Application Fees	\$200.00
Septic and Well	<u>\$600.00</u>
Total Health	\$16,048.00
Dogs	\$4,690.00
Cats	<u>\$315.00</u>
Total Animal	\$5,005.00
Grand Total:	<u>\$21,053.00</u>

Board of Health Meeting

March 13, 2013

Page Eleven

Disbursements: January, 2013

Health:	
2013 Membership Dues- Purchasing (Barbara Streker)	\$60.00
2013 Membership Dues- Mid State Registrar Association (Barbara Streker)	\$15.00
2013 Membership Dues- Registrar Association (Barbara Streker)	\$25.00
Marriage License- 4 th Quarter (8 Licenses @\$25.00 each)	<u>\$200.00</u>
Total Health Disbursement January, 2013	\$300.00

Animal Control:

Animal Control Officer Pager	\$4.48
State Dog License Fee- January	<u>\$956.40</u>
Total Animal Control Disbursements	<u>\$960.88</u>

Total Disbursements – January, 2013 **\$1,260.88**

Treasurer's Report: Receipts – February, 2013

Receipts for Registrar:	\$216.00
Health	\$2,975.15
Application Fees	\$350.00
Septic and Well	<u>\$410.00</u>
Total Health	\$3,951.15
Dogs	\$837.00
Cats	<u>\$105.00</u>
Total Animal	\$942.00
Grand Total:	<u>\$4,893.15</u>

Disbursements: February, 2013

Health:

Mid State Registrar Association Meeting- (Barbara Streker)	\$20.00
500 Board of Health Business Cards	\$35.00
Order of 500 2-Part NCR Continuation Sheets for food inspections	\$115.00
1 st Quarter Middle Brook Regional Contract	<u>\$25,408.00</u>
Total Health Disbursement February, 2013	<u>\$25,578.00</u>

Animal Control:

Animal Control Officer Pager	\$4.48
State Dog License Fee- February	<u>\$72.00</u>
Total Animal Control Disbursements	<u>\$76.48</u>

Total Disbursements – February, 2013 **\$25,654.48**

Motion was made by Mr. Riley second by Mr. Rosenman to approve the Financial Reports for January and February as read.

**Board of Health Meeting
March 13, 2013
Page Twelve**

Voice Vote:

In Favor: Mrs. Cooper, Dr. Sarraf, Mr. Riley, Mr. Rosenman, Mr. Plager

Opposed: None

Abstentions: None

Adjournment:

Motion was made by Mrs. Cooper second by Dr. Sarraf to adjourn the meeting at 9:17pm.
Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Streker, Clerk, Warren
Township Board of Health